

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/555,057	07/10/2000		TAKESHI KUMAZAWA	1046.1213/JD	6782
21171	7590	12/01/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & 1 SUITE 700	HALSEY	LLP .	KE, PENG		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20005	2174		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/555,057	KUMAZAWA ET AL	KUMAZAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Peng Ke	2174					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	lress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMU R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this core BARNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	٠.						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>(</u>	01 September 0605.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 ().D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Here to the other constitution and						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	na/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected	to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		•					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ie Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form P1	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority docur 							
2. Certified copies of the priority docur			_				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	en received in this National s	Stage				
application from the International Bu		ant received					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies i	iot received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	ew Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	·	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/555,057 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 9/06/05.

2. Claims 1-43 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 12, 19, 23, 30, and 37 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-25, 27-32, 34-39, and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Straub et al. (US 6,216,141) in view of Nawaz et al. (US 5,959,621) in view of Smythe et al. (US 6,418,214).

As per claim 1 Straub et al. teaches an object display device comprising:

a converter means for converting a representative character string of source data containing character strings into image data defined as an object (Fig 5, item 140, col 8, lines 35-44);

a storage means for storing the source data and the image in a manner of relating these pieces of data to each other (fig 1, item 40); and

a display means for displaying the image data on a display area of the display means (fig 1, item 30).

Wherein a user selects the image data from the display area and the display means displays the selected image data on a user selected stationary display area (Examiner interprets the default browser or stand browser to be user selected browser) separate from the moving display area (col. 9, lines 47-60).

However, Straub et al. fails to teach a moving display area on the display mean.

Nawaz et al teaches a display mean having a moving display area (col. 8, lines 46-53).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Nawaz et al.'s teaching with Straub et al.'s object in order to display data in a continuous and seamless manner.

However, Straub and Nawaz fail to when the selected image data on the user selected stationary display area is designated

The display means displays the source data linked to the selected image data on a display area separate from the moving display area and the user selected stationary display area of the display means, and

The image data on the moving display area, the selected image data on the user selected stationary display area, and said source data are simultaneously displayed.

Smythe teaches opening a new window when a link is selected. (column 11, lines 55-68)

Therefore by combining Smythe's teaching with method of Straub and Nawaz, a system when the selected image data on the user selected stationary display area is designated the display means displays the source data linked to the selected image data on a display area separate from the moving display area and the user selected stationary display area of the display

Application/Control Number: 09/555,057

Art Unit: 2174

means, and the image data on the moving display area, the selected image data on the user selected stationary display area, and said source data are simultaneously displayed is created.

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Smythe's teaching with method of Straub and Nawaz in order to allow users to view the old web page and the new webpage at the same time.

As per claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 1. Straub further teaches the method comprising the display means for displaying the source data linked to when the image data displayed is designated (col. 8, lines 35-44).

As per claim 3, which is dependent on claim 1, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 1. Straub further teaches the method wherein the image data is structured such that the character string is converted into a bitmap and thus laid out on an background image (col. 8, lines 17-25).

As per claim 4, which is dependent on claim 3, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 3. Straub further teaches the wherein the image data has a window, provided along a periphery of the background image, for showing an attribute of the source data to which the image data is linked (fig 5, item 140, col. 8, lines 35-44).

As per claim 5, which is dependent on claim 4, Straub et al Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 4. Straub further teaches the method wherein said display means displays the image data together with the window, of which a frame size differs corresponding to a capacity of the source data to which the image data is linked (fig 5, item 140,

fig 6, item 170). The source data links to a web site that is displayed on browser, which takes up an entire monitor screen.

Page 5

As per claim 6, which is dependent on claim 4, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 4. Straub further teaches the method comprising template images of plural types of windows, of which frame sizes are different, wherein said template corresponding to a capacity of the source data is used (col. 15, lines 19-28).

As per claim 7, which is dependent on claim 4, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 4. Straub further teaches the method wherein said display means displays the image data together with the window of which a frame configuration differs corresponding to the number of hours or days since the time when the source data to which the image data is linked was acquired (col. 13, lines 43-49). Since the document is being updated after a period of time, it is inherent that the configuration would be different corresponding to the number of hours and days.

As per claim 8, which is dependent on claim 4, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 4. Straub further teaches the method comprising template images of plural types of windows, of which frame configurations are different, wherein said template corresponding to the number of hours or days since the time when the source data was acquired (col 13, lines 43-49). Since the document is being updated after a period of time, it is inherent the configuration of the frame would be adjusted based on the size of the document.

As per claim 9, which is dependent on claim 1, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 1. Nawaz et al. teaches the method comprising the

application control (val

Art Unit: 2174

display means for displaying in movement plural pieces of image data corresponding to respective pieces of source data in predetermined areas (col. 8, lines 24-34).

As per claim 10, which is dependent on claim 9, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 9. Nawza et al further teaches a selector means for selecting a desired piece of image data from the image data displayed in movement; and the display means for displaying the selected image data in an area excluding the display area (col 9, lines 9-25).

As per claim 11, which is dependent on claim 10, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 10. Nawaz et al. further teaches an object display device according to claim 10, wherein the source data linked is displayed on said display means when the image data displayed is designated (col 9, lines 9-25).

As per claim 12, it is rejected with the same rationale as claim 1. (see rejection above)

As per claim 13, which is dependent on claim 12, it is of the same scope as claim 2. (see rejection above).

As per claim 14, which is dependent on claim 13, it is of the same scope as claim 5. (see rejection above).

As per claim 15, which is dependent on claim 13, it is of the same scope as claim 7. (see rejection above)

As per claim 16, which is dependent on claim 12, it is of the same scope as claim 9. (see rejection above).

As per claim 17, which is dependent on claim 12, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display method according to claim 12. Nawaz et al. further teaches the object display

Art Unit: 2174

method comprising: a step of selecting a desired piece of image data from the image data displayed in movement; and a step of displaying the selected image data in an area excluding the display area (col 8, lines 24-34, col 9, lines 9-25).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Nawaz et al.'s teaching with Straub et al.'s object in order to display data in a continuous and seamless manner.

As per claim 18, which is dependent on claim 17, Straub et al. teaches an object display method according to claim 17, further comprising a step of displaying the source data linked on said display means when the image data displayed is designated (col 9, lines 9-25).

As per independent claim 19, it is rejected with the same rationale as claim 1. (see rejection above)

As per claim 20, which is dependent on claim 19, it is of the same scope as claim 2. (see rejection above).

As per claim 21, which is dependent on claim 1, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 1. Straub teaches the method further comprising a set means for setting an effective period as attribute information with respect to the source data, wherein said converter means for conversion into the image data does not convert the source data, which has an elapse over the effective period into the image data (col. 13, lines 43-49).

As per claim 22, which is dependent on claim 2, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 2. Straub teaches the method further wherein the previous image data is not displayed when the source data is displayed on said display means upon the designation of the image data (col 9, lines 47-54).

Art Unit: 2174

As per independent claim 23, Straub et al. teaches an object display device comprising: a display means for displaying plural pieces of information in a manner of sequentially changing a display content (fig 5, item 140, col 8, lines 35-44);

a detect means for detecting a predetermined user's operation for the information displayed (col 8, lines 35-44);

and a record means for recording the information operated in accordance with the detection of the users' operation (col 5, lines 12-25).

Wherein a user selects the image data from a display area and a stationary display area separate from the moving display area and (col. 6, lines 45-55; Standard browser is stationary display is different from teaser) the display means displays the selected image data on a stationary display area separate from the moving display area (col. 9, lines 47-60).

However, Straub et al. fails to teach a moving display area on the display mean.

Nawaz et al teaches a display mean having a moving display area (col. 8, lines 46-53).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Nawaz et al.'s teaching with Straub et al.'s object in order to display data in a continuous and seamless manner.

However, they fail to where when the selected image data on the user selected stationary display area is designated

The display means displays the source data linked to the selected image data on a display area separate from the moving display area and the user selected stationary display area of the display means, and

The image data on the moving display area, the selected image data on the user selected stationary display area, and said source data are simultaneously displayed.

Smythe teaches opening a new window when a link is selected. (column 11, lines 55-68)

Therefore by combining Smythe's teaching with method of Straub and Nawaz, a system where when the selected image data on the user selected stationary display area is designated the display means displays the source data linked to the selected image data on a display area separate from the moving display area and the user selected stationary display area of the display means, and the image data on the moving display area, the selected image data on the user selected stationary display area, and said source data are simultaneously displayed is created.

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Smythe's teaching with method of Straub and Nawaz in order to allow users to view the old web page and the new webpage at the same time.

As per claim 24, which is dependent on claim 23, it is of the same scope as claim 9. (see rejection above).

As per claim 25, which is dependent on claim 23, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 23. Straub et al. further teaches the method wherein the information is displayed in a predetermined display format on said display means as the record of the information (col. 9, lines 47-54).

As per claim 27, which is dependent on claim 23, Straub et al. and Nawaz teach an object display device according to claim 23. Straub et al. further teaches the method further comprising: the detect means for detecting a selection indicating operation with respect to the

Application/Control Number: 09/555,057

Art Unit: 2174

information recorded; and the display means for displaying linked information corresponding to the information subjected to the selection indication operation (col 9, lines 47-54).

As per claim 28, which is dependent on claim 27, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 27. Straub et al. further teaches the method wherein the linked information is source data, and said object display device further comprises means for creating the information displayed by an extraction from the source data. (col 9, lines 47-54)

As per claim 29, which is dependent on claim 28, Straub et al teaches an object display device according to claim 28. Straub et al. further teaches the method wherein the source data belongs to a remote terminal connected via a network (col 9, lines 55-60).

As per claim 30, it is rejected with the same rationale as claim 23. (see rejection above)

As per claim 31, which is dependent on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 9. (see rejection above).

As per claim 32, which is dependent on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 25. (see rejection above)

As per claim 34, which is dependent on claim 30, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display method according to claim 30. Straub et al. teaches the method further comprising:

detecting a selection indicating operation with respect to the information recorded (col 8, lines 35-44); and

displaying linked information corresponding to the information subjected to the selection indication operation (col 8, lines 35-44).

Application/Control Number: 09/555,057

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 35, which is dependent on claim 34, Straub et al. Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display method according to claim 34. Straub et al. teaches the method wherein the linked information is source data, and said object display method further comprises a step of creating the information displayed by an extraction from the source data (col 9, lines 48-54).

As per claim 36, which is dependent on claim 35, it is of the same scope as claim 29. (see rejection above)

As per independent claim 37, it is rejected with the same rationale as claim 23. (see rejection above)

As per claim 38, which is dependent on claim 37, it is of the same scope as claim 17 (see rejection above).

As per claim 39, which is dependent on claim 37, Straub, Nawaz and Smythe teach a readable-by-computer recording medium recorded with a program according to claim 37. Straub et al. further teaches the method wherein said step of recording the information includes a step of displaying the information in a predetermined display format on said display means (col 3, lines 35-40).

As per claim 41, which is dependent on claim 37, Straub, Nawaz and Smythe teach a readable-by-computer recording medium recorded with a program according to claim 37. Straub et al. teaches the method further comprising:

detecting a selection indicating operation with respect to the information recorded (col 9, lines 48-60); and

displaying linked information corresponding to the information subjected to the selection indication operation (col. 9, lines 48-60).

As per claim 42, which is dependent on claim 41, Straub, Nawaz and Smythe teach a readable-by-computer recording medium recorded with a program according to claim 41. Straub further teaches method wherein the linked information is source data, and said program further comprises a step of creating the information displayed by an extraction from the source data (col. 9, lines 48-60).

As per claim 43, which is dependent on clam 42 is of the same scope as claim 29. (see rejection above)

4. Claims 26, 33, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Straub et al (US 6,216,141) in view of Nawaz et al. (US 5,959,621) in view of Smythe et al. (US 6,418,214) further in view of Kisiel (US 6,327,586).

As per claim 26, which is dependent on claim 23. Straub, Nawaz and Smythe teach an object display device according to claim 23. However they fail to teach the object wherein the operation is a drag-and-drop operation aiming at a desired piece of information. Kisiel teaches an object wherein the operation is a drag-and-drop operation aiming at a desired piece of information (col 9, lines 40-48).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Kisiel's teaching with the device of Straub, Nawaz and Smythe in order to provide a friendly user interface that simplifies the open file procedure.

As per claim 33, which is dependent on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 26. (see rejection above)

As per claim 40, which is dependent on claim 37, it is of the same scope as claim 26. (see rejection above)

Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-43 have been considered but are deemed

to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Peng Ke whose telephone number is (571) 272-4062. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and Alternate Fridays 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Peng Ke